

The Harbour Ledger

A shipping register from Penang, 1887. What it records is easy to read. What it omits is the harder document.

10 min read

Before you read

- **provenance** — the record of where a document came from and how it survived
- **ledger** — a bound register of entries kept for administrative purposes
- **indenture** — a contract binding a worker to an employer for a fixed term
- **elide** — to pass over or suppress something without acknowledgement
- **bureaucratic** — produced by, and shaped by the needs of, an administrative system
- **corroborate** — to support a claim with independent evidence
- **silence** — in archival work, a gap produced by what the record was never designed to hold
- **palimpsest** — a surface bearing later writing over earlier, partly visible, writing

Date	Name	Description	Amount
Aug 1	Permit: Biquinto	Permit for the coal shed	127 100
Aug 9	Permit: Biquinto	Permit for the coal shed	127 100
Aug 10	Permit: Biquinto	Permit for the coal shed	127 100
Aug 11	Permit: Biquinto	Permit for the coal shed	127 100
Aug 12	Permit: Biquinto	Permit for the coal shed	127 100
Aug 13	Permit: Biquinto	Permit for the coal shed	127 100
Aug 14	Permit: Biquinto	Permit for the coal shed	127 100
Aug 15	Permit: Biquinto	Permit for the coal shed	127 100
Aug 16	Permit: Biquinto	Permit for the coal shed	127 100
Aug 17	Permit: Biquinto	Permit for the coal shed	127 100
Aug 18	Permit: Biquinto	Permit for the coal shed	127 100
Aug 19	Permit: Biquinto	Permit for the coal shed	127 100
Aug 20	Permit: Biquinto	Permit for the coal shed	127 100
Aug 21	Permit: Biquinto	Permit for the coal shed	127 100
Aug 22	Permit: Biquinto	Permit for the coal shed	127 100
Aug 23	Permit: Biquinto	Permit for the coal shed	127 100
Aug 24	Permit: Biquinto	Permit for the coal shed	127 100
Aug 25	Permit: Biquinto	Permit for the coal shed	127 100
Aug 26	Permit: Biquinto	Permit for the coal shed	127 100
Aug 27	Permit: Biquinto	Permit for the coal shed	127 100
Aug 28	Permit: Biquinto	Permit for the coal shed	127 100
Aug 29	Permit: Biquinto	Permit for the coal shed	127 100
Aug 30	Permit: Biquinto	Permit for the coal shed	127 100
Aug 31	Permit: Biquinto	Permit for the coal shed	127 100

Page from the Penang harbourmaster's arrivals register, 1887 (reproduced extract)

¹ The following is an extract from the arrivals register kept by the harbourmaster at Penang, entry for 14 February 1887. The register is a single bound volume of 412 leaves, held in the national archive; the entries are in one clerical hand throughout, with marginal initials in a second hand.

S.S. Nam Sang, Swatow, 11 days. Master: Jardine. Cargo: sundries, salt fish, 180 piculs. Deck passengers: 614. Landed 611. Depot: Weld Quay. Fee paid. — J.R.M.

² Nineteen words of cargo and four numbers. A reader in a hurry extracts the obvious: a steamer arrived from Swatow after eleven days carrying goods and six hundred and fourteen people, three of whom did not

land. The entry is complete, legible and almost certainly accurate. The question worth asking is what kind of accuracy it is.

³ Every document is produced for a purpose, and the purpose determines the shape of what it can tell us. This register exists to assess harbour dues. That single fact explains almost every feature of the entry. Cargo is itemised by weight because weight is chargeable. Passengers are counted because the depot fee was levied per head landed. The discrepancy between 614 and 611 is recorded not because three people are missing but because three fees are not owed.

⁴ The phrase "deck passengers" is doing a great deal of quiet work. It is a fare category, and the register has no other. Men travelling under indenture to the tin fields of Perak, men paying their own passage, recruits whose passage had been advanced against future wages, and the occasional merchant economising all occupy the same column. A system that charged the same fee for each had no administrative reason to distinguish between them, and so the distinction that a historian most wants is precisely the one the document was designed not to make.

⁵ This is what archivists mean by a silence. It is not an accidental gap, like a torn page, and it is not concealment. It is the shape left by the document's purpose — information that was never gathered because nothing in the system required it. Silences of this kind are systematic rather than random, which has an important consequence: they do not cancel out across many documents. A thousand such registers would reproduce the same absence a thousand times, and the resulting picture would be no less confident for being no better informed.

⁶ Nor should the three unlanded passengers be read too quickly. The register offers no cause, and the plausible causes are not equivalent: death in passage, detention by the health officer, transfer to another vessel, or a clerical correction to an initial overcount. A historian who selects the most dramatic of these has made an inference, not a reading, and owes the reader the admission. The entry constrains the possibilities; it does not choose among them.

⁷ Corroboration is the standard remedy, and it is partial. The Straits Settlements health officer kept a separate quarantine book; the Chinese Protectorate, established in Penang in 1877, kept depot registers that do record the terms under which men arrived. Where the three series overlap, a single voyage can sometimes be reconstructed in a way no one of them permits. Where they do not overlap, the temptation is to let the fullest series speak for the missing ones — which is how a quantitative claim about the whole becomes an artefact of which office happened to keep better books.

⁸ The marginal initials deserve a word. They are not the clerk's. They belong to the officer who verified the fee, and they appear against roughly four entries in five. Their absence is more interesting than their presence, because it marks the entries that were not checked — and an unchecked entry is the one most likely to record what the master of the vessel said rather than what was counted. The document, read closely enough, contains a partial account of its own reliability. Most documents do, and most readers never look for it.

⁹ None of this makes the register a poor source. It makes it a source for something other than what it appears to be about. It is excellent evidence of what a colonial port administration considered worth knowing, which is a historical question of some weight. Read for that, it is almost garrulous. Read as a census of the people on the deck, it is a page of numbers standing where six hundred biographies ought to

be.

Part A **Select the best answer**

Circle the letter next to the answer best supported by the text.

1. According to the passage, the discrepancy between 614 and 611 is recorded because
 - A three passengers were known to have died in passage
 - B the harbourmaster suspected an error in the master's count
 - C the fee was charged per head landed
 - D the Chinese Protectorate required a reconciliation
2. The author's central claim about "deck passengers" is that the term
 - A was a euphemism intended to conceal indentured labour
 - B collapses distinctions the system had no reason to record
 - C was applied inconsistently by different clerks
 - D excluded men travelling under indenture
3. Why does the author emphasise that archival silences are systematic rather than random?
 - A Because systematic errors are easier to correct statistically
 - B Because they imply the clerk was acting under instruction
 - C Because accumulating more documents does not remedy them
 - D Because they indicate deliberate suppression
4. The discussion of the marginal initials (paragraph 8) serves mainly to show that
 - A the register was verified by a second official
 - B unchecked entries were rare enough to disregard
 - C a document can carry internal evidence of its own reliability
 - D the clerical hand changed over the volume

Part B **Analyse and explain**

Answer in continuous prose. Refer to the text by paragraph number where it strengthens your point.

5. Explain how the register's administrative purpose determines what it can and cannot tell a historian. Use two specific features of the entry.

6. Distinguish, as the passage does, between a silence and a gap. Why does the distinction matter for a historian's confidence?
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____
7. In paragraph 6 the author says the entry "constrains the possibilities; it does not choose among them". Explain what disciplinary rule this states.
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____
8. Paragraph 7 warns that a quantitative claim can become "an artefact of which office happened to keep better books". Explain this risk in your own words.
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____
9. Analyse the final sentence of the passage. What does its imagery achieve that a plain statement of the same point would not?
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____

Part C **Argue a position**

There is no single correct answer. Take a position, and make the reasoning that supports it visible.

10. The author claims the register is "excellent evidence of what a colonial port administration considered worth knowing". Is a source read against its purpose more or less reliable than one read for it? Argue a position.
11. Some historians argue that silences should be filled with careful speculation, clearly marked as such, rather than left empty. Make the strongest case for that practice, then state your own view.
12. Consider a record kept about you today — a transcript, a medical file, a platform's activity log. Identify its purpose, and describe one silence that purpose creates.
13. The passage treats the unchecked entries as the most suspect. Construct an argument that the checked entries deserve equal suspicion, and evaluate it.

14. Does the author's method — reading a document for its purpose before its content — risk explaining away evidence that is inconvenient? Defend your answer.

Answer key

For parents and teachers

Part A

1. C | 2. B | 3. C | 4. C

Part B

5. The register exists to assess harbour dues, so it records what is chargeable: cargo by weight (weight is charged) and passengers by head landed (the depot fee is per head). It cannot report status, origin beyond the port of departure, or terms of travel, because none of those affected the fee. Credit any two features correctly tied to the fee logic.

6. A gap is accidental — a lost or damaged page — and is in principle recoverable from another copy. A silence is structural: the information was never collected because the system had no use for it. It matters because gaps are random and partially self-correcting across many documents, whereas silences repeat identically, so volume of evidence increases confidence without increasing knowledge.

7. That the evidence sets the boundary of what may be claimed, and that selecting one possibility from within that boundary is an inference which must be declared as such. A strong answer notes the ethical as well as the logical force: the reader is owed the admission.

8. If one office's records survive more fully, the reconstructed picture will reflect that office's categories and coverage rather than the past itself. Totals assembled from uneven series measure the survival of documents as much as the thing documented. Accept clear paraphrase; strong answers note that the distortion is invisible in the final figure.

9. The image sets a page of numbers against six hundred absent biographies, making the abstraction of the silence concrete and giving it moral weight. A plain statement would register the methodological point without the cost. Strong answers also note "garrulous" in the preceding sentence as a deliberate contrast.

Part C

These answers vary. Mark the reasoning, not the conclusion.

10. Either position is defensible. Strong answers distinguish reliability about the document's subject from reliability about its producers, and notice that reading against purpose often yields the more secure of the two.

11. The strongest case appeals to the alternative — that leaving silences empty lets the archive's priorities become history's. Look for engagement with how marking works in practice, and with the risk of speculation hardening into citation.

12. Any honest example counts if the purpose is named and the silence follows from it. Prompt rather than correct: what would this record have no reason to hold?

13. A good argument notes that verification confirms the fee, not the count, and that an officer with an interest in revenue checks in one direction only. Reward answers that locate the suspicion in the incentive rather than in the individual.

14. Reward recognition that the method is a tool with a failure mode: purpose can be invoked selectively to discount testimony one dislikes. Strong answers propose a constraint — that the purpose be established independently of the inconvenience.

EyeQBoost builds thinking skills in young learners through cognitive training, reading and gamified online classes.
More free reading sets at eyeqboost.com/resources.